United States District Court For THE Western District of Pennsylvania

Solomon White PRINT, FF

12-179 n

VS

RAINTIFFS

Meyer Unkouic & Scott, LLD Defendant

> FILED ON Behalf of THE PLAINTIFF Solomon WHITE Por Se

VS

Brandon B. Rochey Defendant

SOLOMON WHITE 9200 Vantine Street Proburgh, PA 15235 412-242-7116 I. Lutroduction

1. This is an action for domages brought by an individund consumer for Defendants violations of the Fair Debt
Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. \$ 1692, et seg (hereafter "FDCPA) which prohibit debt collectors from engaging in a busine, deceptive and unfair practices.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises under 15 U.S.C. \$ 1692 and K(d) 28 U.S.C. \$ 1337. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \$ 2201 and 2202. Venue is proper in this district in that the defendants transact business here and the conduct complained of occurred here

III. Parties

3. Flaintiff, Solomon White is a natural person Residing at 9200 Vantine Street, Pittsburgh, PA. 15235 4. Meyer Unkovic & Scott LLP, Defendant is a corporation on engaged in the business of collecting debts in this state with its principal place of business Located at 535 Smith Field Street, Suite 1300, Pittsburgh, PA. 15222-2315

A purpose of defendant Meyer Unknowic & Scott LLP is the collection of debts using the mails and defendant Meyer Un-Kouic & Scott LL Pregularly attempts to Collect debts alleged to be due another

5. Defendant, Brandon B. Rothey is a natural person employed by Defendant Meyer Unknowic & Scott LLP as a collector at all times relevant to this complaint

6. Defendents are debt collectors as defined by the FD-

CPA, 15 U.S.C. \$ 1692 a(6)

7. Defendant, Brandon B. Rothey business address is 535 Smithfield Street, Sinte 1300, Patsburgh, PA. 15222-2315

Factual Allegations

B. By correspondence on the Letterhead of Meyer, Unkovic & Scott LLP dated October 25, 2011 and December 7,2011, Jewish association on Aging mailed a collection letter over the typewritten name of Brandon Rothey to Solomon White demanding payment of a debt in the amount of \$5-468.12 allegedly due Jewish association on Aging. A copy is attacked here to A Exhibit A.

9. Exhibit A was recieved by Mr. White at his residence in Firsburgh, FR.

10. Exhibit A stated "within the next 10 days you are reguested to contact this office concerning payment"

16. On an about November 19,2011 Hamtiff wrote Regvesting Defendants to verify alleged debt

12. On an about November 21, 2012, December 14, 2011 and

January 2012 collection letters continued after plaintiff

Requested ventification

13. On an about December 7, 2011 defendants mailed a Letter to thaintiff again requesting payment ignoring the vertification Letter of plaintiff. Defendant regesting payment in 10 days.

14. After December 19, 2011 defendants mailed statements to plaintiff

15. Defendants appeared before the Court of Common Peas Allegeny County, October 2012, stating the Pennsylvania Department of Welfare stated plaintiff allegedly owed #5,468.12

16. No paperwork from the Pennsylvania Department of Welfare was presented

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

17 Plaintiff Repeats and realleges and incorporates by Reference to the foregoing paragraphs.

18 Defendants violated the FDCfA. Defendants violations include but not limited to, the following:

(a) The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C \$ 1692(E) 11 by NOT Stating in all communications indicate they are from a debt collector

- (B) The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. \$ 1692 (g) because the demand for payment overshallowed or created confusion about, the disclosure of the consumer rights in the validation notice
- (c) The Defendants violated 15 U.S. (\$1692(g) after plaintiff made a timely validation request, de fendants continued collection activities
- (D) THE Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. \$ 1692(E)(2) because letters contained a false impression of the character, amount, or legal status of the alleged debt
- (E) THE Defendants violated 15 U.S. C & 1692(F)(1) attempted to collect amount not authorized by the agreement creating the debt
- (F) THE Defendants violated 15 U.S. (\$ 1692 (F) by causing charges to be made to plaint. ff

(G) THE Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. \$ 1692 f by Using unfair and unconsciounble means to Attempt to collect alleged debt

(H) The Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. 1692 K by causing out of packet expenses to Prentiff

(1) The Defendants violated 15 U.S. C \$1692 by using a letter LAD from Law from that can imply a threat to a Consumer

COUNT II

19 All of above paragraphs relevant are incorporated by reference

20 The Defendants appeared before Court of Common Pleas of Allegeny County in October 2012 Stating the Tenusylvania Department of Wilfare Reguestred plaintiff owed \$ 5,468.12

zi No paperwork from Depurtment of Welfare was present

zz The Defendants mispresented the amount of the alleged debt with illegal charges and legal fees.

23 The Defendents actions added about \$5,000 in bogus additional Legal costs which were devised.

24 Defendants therefore committed a frau D upon the plaint-

iff, as well as upon the Court of Common Heas of Allegeny County by stating Debt was from Department of Welfare

25 Defendants have violated \$ 1692d and 1692f

26 Défendants actions amount to common law frau D upon the plaintiff

27 Defendants actions in this case were malicious, fraudulent and outrageous and hence entitle the plaintiff to punitive damages.

28 Defendants actions herein have subjected plaintiff to huniliation and embanassment

Wherefore, plaintiff Solomon White respectfully requests that judgement be entered against defendants to Meyer, Unknowic & Scott LLP and Brandon B. Rothey For the following

A. Declaratory judgement that the defendants con. duct violated the FDCPA

B. Actual Damages

C. Statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. &

D. Puritive Damages

E. FOR SUCH other and FURTHER Relief as the court may deem just and proper

Respectfully submitted

NO DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Please take notice that plaint iff Solomon White do NOT demand a trial by jury in this action

Salomon White
Pro Se

UniteD States District Court For THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Solomon White Haintiff

VS

Meyer Un Kovic & Scott LLP De Fendant

VS

Brandon B. Rochey Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Solomon White, hereby certify that the Complaint was served upon the Defendants Meyer Unknowic & Scott LLP, 535 Smith FIELD SHREET PITTSburgh, TENNA. 15222-2315 AND Mr. Brandon B. Rothey, 535 Smith Field STREET, PITTS burgh, PA.

15222-2315 by certifed Frist CLASS Mail, Return Receipt Reguested on this day Friday, December 7, 2012

Sincerely

Solomon White, Pro Se